United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/859,684	05/17/2001	Marko Hahn	MICRONAS.6232	4058
7590	03/28/2005		EXAMINER	
Samuels, Gauthier & Stevens LLP 225 Franklin Street, Suite 3300		YENKE, BRIAN P		
Boston, MA 02110		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 03/28/2005 -

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

•			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/859,684	HAHN ET AL.	•
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	BRIAN P. YENKE	2614	
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
. •			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) d - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 17 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on Amendment (14 Oct 04).		
$ \equiv $	☐ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for	allowance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice	under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the app	lication.	•	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are			
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,3,6,7,9,12,13 and 15</u> is/are i	rejected.		
7) Claim(s) <u>4,5,8,10,11,14,16 and 17</u> is/ai	e objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrictio	n and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to ≀	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	e correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	y the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority do 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received.		
<u> </u>	cuments have been received in A the priority documents have been	· ·	
application from the Internationa		received in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for	` ` ' '	received.	
	·		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)	
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO	-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	O/SB/08) 5) \(\bigcap \text{Notice of Ir} \) 6) \(\bigcap \text{Other:} \(\bigcap \)	formal Patent Application (PTO-152) —·	

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-3, 6-7, 9, 12-13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schamel et al., DE-3617827 (applicant's cited prior art) in view over Baatz et al., US 2001/0055421

In considering claim 2-3, 6-7, 9 and 12-13

- a) the claimed receiving a picture signal is met where a video signal is received in which a measurement sample is formed consisting of a certain number of pixels in a line or in several lines in an arbitrary planar pattern (abstract), Fig 1, measuring circuit 11 (see also Fig 7)
- b) the claimed for the at least one detected...is met where the pattern is subjected to high-pass filtering (abstract), element 21/Fig 2.

c) the claimed determining the noise contained in the picture...is met where the difference amplitude of the high-pass filtered signal is determined and evaluated from a certain gray value (abstract), via the energy calculation block 23 (Fig 2).

However, Schamel does not explicitly recite a "homogeneous picture region". Schamel discloses the processing of blocks of picture data.

The concept of processing homogeneous picture regions is notoriously well known in the art as disclosed by Baatz, since the essential criteria for segmentation of picture elements are contiguity and homogeneity which determine whether or not a picture segment following the combination is judged to be homogenous (pag 1, para 6).

Thus Schamel discloses the segmenting of picture elements in order to determine the amount of noise, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to segment regions by homogeneity in order to properly segment the image as done by Baatz.

In considering claim 15,

The combination of Schamel and Baatz do not specifically disclose the use of LPF.

However, the use of a LPF is a notoriously well known/conventional device which is used to filter high frequency noise that might enter a system/circuit. Thus the examiner takes "OFFICIAL NOTICE" regarding such a filter.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Schamel and Baatz which disclose the processing of Application/Control Number: 09/859,684 Page 4

Art Unit: 2614

picture elements in order to measure the noise within a picture by filtering any unwanted noise when receiving the signal, in order to accurately measure the quality of the signal.

Conclusion

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure—see newly cited references on attached form PTO-892.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Yenke whose telephone number is (571)272-7359. The examiner work schedule is Monday-Thursday, 0730-1830 hrs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisor, John W. Miller, can be reached at (571)272-7352.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703)305-HELP.

General information about patents, trademarks, products and services offered by the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), and other related information is available by contacting the USPTO's General Information Services Division at:

800-PTO-9199 or 703-308-HELP

(FAX) 703-305-7786

(TDD) 703-305-7785

An automated message system is available 7 days a week, 24 hours a day providing informational responses to frequently asked questions and the ability to order certain documents. Customer service representatives are available to answer questions, send materials or connect customers with other offices of the USPTO from 8:30 a.m. - 8:00p.m. EST/EDT, Monday-Friday excluding federal holidays.

For other technical patent information needs, the Patent Assistance

Center can be reached through customer service representatives at the above

numbers, Monday through Friday (except federal holidays) from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00

p.m. EST/EDT.

The Patent Electronic Business Center (EBC) allows USPTO customers to retrieve data, check the status of pending actions, and submit information and applications. The tools currently available in the Patent EBC are Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) and the Electronic Filing System (EFS).

Art Unit: 2614

14 March 2005

PAIR (http://pair.uspto.gov) provides customers direct secure access to their own patent application status information, as well as to general patent information publicly available. EFS allows customers to electronically file patent application documents securely via the Internet. EFS is a system for submitting new utility patent applications and pre-grant publication submissions in electronic publication-ready form. EFS includes software to help customers prepare submissions in extensible Markup Language (XML) format and to assemble the various parts of the application as an electronic submission package. EFS also allows the submission of Computer Readable Format (CRF) sequence listings for pending biotechnology patent applications, which were filed in paper form.

BRIAN P. YENKÉ

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2614